

EXHIBIT 1

Scott R. Torpey (Cal. SB#153763)
Jaffe Raitt Heuer & Weiss, P.C.
27777 Franklin Road, Suite 2500
Southfield, Michigan 48034-8214
Telephone: (248) 351-3000
Facsimile: (248) 351-3802
Email: storpey@jaffelaw.com

-and-

Jeffrey A. Worthe (Cal. SB# 080856)
Worthe, Hanson & Worthe
1851 E. First St., Ste. 900
Santa Ana, California 92705
Telephone: (714) 285-9600
Facsimile: (714) 285-9700
Email: jworthe@whwlawcorp.com
Attorneys for Defendant United Air Lines, Inc.

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

DECLARATION OF SCOTT TORPEY

I, Scott Torpey, being duly sworn according to law, hereby depose and state:

1. I am a partner at the law firm of Jaffe, Raitt, Heuer & Weiss, P.C., located in Southfield Michigan. I am duly licensed and qualified to practice law in California. I represent Defendant United Air Lines, Inc , (“United”) in the above-captioned matter, which All Nippon Airways Company, Ltd , (“ANA”) filed against United on June 28, 2007. I make this Declaration pursuant to Civil L.R. 7-11(a) in support of United’s Motion for Administrative Relief to Strike ANA’s Motion to Compel Discovery or for an Order Extending Response Date (the “Motion”).

2. My colleague, David S McDaniel, an associate at the law firm of Jaffe, Raitt, Heuer & Weiss, P.C., informed me that on Monday, February 11, 2008, he spoke to Marshall S Turner, counsel for ANA, by telephone to request a stipulation for the relief sought in the Motion and that the request was denied.

3. For those items raised by ANA during the January 23 and 24, 2008, meet and confer, the process was working until ANA short-circuited it by unnecessarily filing a motion to compel, as shown by the January 30, 2008 letter of Scott D. Cunningham, co-counsel for ANA, attached as Exhibit I to the Declaration of Marshall S. Turner in Support of Motion of All Nippon Airways Company, Ltd. to Compel Discovery. (Doc. No. 58-11) As indicated in the letter, following the meet and confer, United agreed to produce additional materials related to the Standard Ground Handling Agreement, employment files, the urine sample for Edward Loh, and a flight plan for the subject flight. ANA inexplicably filed its Motion to Compel only two days

1 after United received the letter from Mr. Cunningham memorializing the parties' agreement.
2 Further, many of the 149 requests addressed in ANA's Motion to Compel were not addressed
3 during the meet and confer process. Therefore, ANA did not comply with the meet and confer
4 requirements of the federal and local rules prior to filing the Motion. Certainly, the parties may
5 have worked out additional issues if ANA had not rushed into court with its Motion to Compel.

6 4. If the Court does not strike ANA's procedurally improper Motion to Compel,
7 United will need additional time to compile all of the information necessary to adequately
8 respond and to draft its opposition papers. United has moved for an order to compel the
9 production of additional information responsive to 149 requests spread out over eight different
10 sets of discovery. After deciphering exactly what ANA is looking for, United will have to search
11 its files and recreate exactly what it has produced and when it produced it. This will be very
12 time consuming given the breadth of United's Motion. Further, a grant of additional time may
13 permit the parties to narrow the issues before the Court.

FURTHER AFFIANT SAYETH NOT

Scott Torpey

Subscribed and sworn to before me
this 12th day of February, 2008

Acting in Oakland County, MI